

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/061,155	02/04/2002 Yoshinobu Shiraiwa		03500.016155 9159		
5514 FITZPATRICK	7590 04/12/2007 CELLA HARPER & SCII	EXAMINER			
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			HUNTSINGER, PETER K		
NEW YORK,	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		
				····	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/061,155	SHIRAIWA, YOSHINOBU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Peter K. Huntsinger	2625		

		Peter K. Huntsinger	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this	communication appe	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2007 FAI	• •		•	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejethis application, applicant must tin places the application in condition a Request for Continued Examinatime periods:</li> </ol>	ection, but prior to or or nely file one of the follo for allowance; (2) a No	n the same day as filing a wing replies: (1) an amend otice of Appeal (with appea	Notice of Appeal. To avoid ab Iment, affidavit, or other evide al fee) in compliance with 37 (	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $3$ mo	•	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1 no event, however, will the statute Examiner Note: If box 1 is checke TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL F	ory period for reply expire ed, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) V	the mailing date of the final rejec-	tion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 3 have been filed is the date for purposes of dunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply may reduce any earned patent term adjustm NOTICE OF APPEAL	etermining the period of ex the expiration date of the received by the Office late	dension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for than three months after the	ig amount of the fee. The approp reply originally set in the final Of	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFI a Notice of Appeal has been filed, AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	$\overline{(3.37(a))}$ , or any extension	ension thereof (37 CFR 41	.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of t	
	d offer a final rejection	but prior to the date of filir	as a brief will not be entered l	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) file         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>They raise new issues that to</li> <li>(b)</li> <li>They raise the issue of new</li> <li>(c)</li> <li>They are not deemed to pla appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d)</li> <li>They present additional claim</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	would require further comatter (see NOTE bekee the application in be	onsideration and/or search ow); otter form for appeal by ma corresponding number of	(see NOTE below); terially reducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CF	• • •			
4. The amendments are not in comp			of Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome to the second of the second</li></ol>			separate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed how the new or amended claims with the status of the claim(s) is (or with Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 51-58. Claim(s) withdrawn from considerations.	vould be rejected is pro Il be) as follows:			explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence file because applicant failed to provid was not earlier presented. See 37</li> </ol>	e a showing of good ar	ut before or on the date of nd sufficient reasons why t	filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> he affidavit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence file entered because the affidavit or o showing a good and sufficient rea</li> </ol>	ther evidence failed to sons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections un ry and was not earlier pres	der appeal and/or appellant fa ented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is		on of the status of the clain	ns after entry is below or attac	ched.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/  11.  ☐ The request for reconsideration I		ut does NOT place the app	blication in condition for allowa	ance because:
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Di	sclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	os.souro otatomont(s).	(1. 1.0.00,100) 1 apci 140(3)	• ——	
			-	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues on 7-9 of the response in essense that:

In Kobayashi et al. different operation units, i.e., the mode dial and the print key, are used (operated) in the different modes (different conditions) to display the print menu and instruct the printer to print the displayed image.

The print key 24 and mode selection dial 20 of Kobayashi et al. are both controlled by control panel 56 of Fig. 7 (col. 8, lines 26-29). Therefore, Kobayashi et al. disclose a designating unit for designating the image displayed on the display apparatus as a print subject for a printer communicating with said image pickup apparatus (control panel 56 of Fig. 7, col. 8, lines 26-29); and a display control unit for causing the display apparatus to display a print setting screen (Fig. 5B), in accordance with a first designation provided to said designation unit (mode selection dial 20, col. 9, lines 9-25).

AUNG S. MOE